

Proof of Theorem 177

The theorem to be proved is

q_1 is a power of two & q_2 is a power of two $\rightarrow q_1 \cdot q_2$ is a power of two

Suppose the theorem does not hold. Then, with the variables held fixed,

(H) $[[(q_1 \text{ is a power of two}) \ \& \ (q_2 \text{ is a power of two}) \ \& \ [\neg (q_1 \cdot q_2 \text{ is a power of two})]]$

Special cases of the hypothesis and previous results:

- 0: q_1 is a power of two from H: $q_1:q_2$
- 1: q_2 is a power of two from H: $q_1:q_2$
- 2: $\neg q_1 \cdot q_2$ is a power of two from H: $q_1:q_2$
- 3: $\neg q_1$ is a power of two $\vee 2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1$ from [129](#)[>]; $q_1:x_1$
- 4: $\neg q_2$ is a power of two $\vee 2 \uparrow x_2 = q_2$ from [129](#)[>]; $q_2:x_2$
- 5: $(2 \uparrow x_1) \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) = 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ from [136](#); $2;x_1;x_2$
- 6: $2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ is a power of two from [131](#); $x_1 + x_2$

Equality substitutions:

- 7: $\neg 2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1 \vee \neg (2 \uparrow x_1) \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) = 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) \vee (q_1) \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) = 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$
- 8: $\neg 2 \uparrow x_2 = q_2 \vee \neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) \vee 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (q_2)$
- 9: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2 \vee \neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ is a power of two $\vee q_1 \cdot q_2$ is a power of two

Inferences:

- 10: $2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1$ by
 - 0: q_1 is a power of two
 - 3: $\neg q_1$ is a power of two $\vee 2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1$
- 11: $2 \uparrow x_2 = q_2$ by
 - 1: q_2 is a power of two
 - 4: $\neg q_2$ is a power of two $\vee 2 \uparrow x_2 = q_2$

- 12: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ is a power of two by
2: $\neg q_1 \cdot q_2$ is a power of two
- 9: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ is a power of two \vee $q_1 \cdot q_2$ is a power of two
- 13: $\neg 2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1 \quad \vee \quad 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$ by
5: $(2 \uparrow x_1) \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) = 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$
7: $\neg 2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1 \quad \vee \quad \neg (2 \uparrow x_1) \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) = 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) \quad \vee \quad 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$
- 14: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2$ by
6: $2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ is a power of two
12: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2)$ is a power of two
- 15: $2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$ by
10: $2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1$
13: $\neg 2 \uparrow x_1 = q_1 \quad \vee \quad 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$
- 16: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) \quad \vee \quad 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2$ by
11: $2 \uparrow x_2 = q_2$
8: $\neg 2 \uparrow x_2 = q_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) \quad \vee \quad 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2$
- 17: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$ by
14: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2$
16: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2) \quad \vee \quad 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot q_2$
- 18: *QEA* by
15: $2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$
17: $\neg 2 \uparrow (x_1 + x_2) = q_1 \cdot (2 \uparrow x_2)$