Proof of Theorem 176

The theorem to be proved is

$$Rx \cdot Qy + Ry < Qx \cdot Qy$$

Suppose the theorem does not hold. Then, with the variables held fixed,

(H)
$$[[\neg (((Rx) \cdot (Qy)) + (Ry)) < ((Qx) \cdot (Qy))]]$$

Special cases of the hypothesis and previous results:

0:
$$\neg ((Rx) \cdot (Qy)) + (Ry) < (Qx) \cdot (Qy)$$
 from H:x:y

1:
$$Rx < Qx$$
 from $\underline{166};x$

2:
$$Ry < Qy$$
 from 166; y

3:
$$\neg Rx < Qx \lor \neg Ry < Qy \lor ((Rx) \cdot (Qy)) + (Ry) < (Qx) \cdot (Qy)$$
 from $175; Rx; Qx; Ry; Qy$

Inferences:

4:
$$\neg Rx < Qx \lor \neg Ry < Qy$$
 by

0:
$$\neg ((\mathbf{R}x) \cdot (\mathbf{Q}y)) + (\mathbf{R}y) < (\mathbf{Q}x) \cdot (\mathbf{Q}y)$$

3:
$$\neg Rx < Qx \lor \neg Ry < Qy \lor ((Rx) \cdot (Qy)) + (Ry) < (Qx) \cdot (Qy)$$

5:
$$\neg Ry < Qy$$
 by

1:
$$\mathbf{R}x < \mathbf{Q}x$$

4:
$$\neg \mathbf{R}x < \mathbf{Q}x \quad \lor \quad \neg \mathbf{R}y < \mathbf{Q}y$$

$$6: QEA$$
 by

2:
$$Ry < Qy$$

5:
$$\neg Ry < Qy$$