

Proof of Theorem 127i

The theorem to be proved is

$$x < 2 \uparrow x \rightarrow Sx < 2 \uparrow Sx$$

Suppose the theorem does not hold. Then, with the variables held fixed,

$$(H) \quad [[(x) < (2 \uparrow x)] \ \& \ [\neg (Sx) < (2 \uparrow (Sx))]]$$

Special cases of the hypothesis and previous results:

- 0: $x < 2 \uparrow x$ from H: x
- 1: $\neg Sx < 2 \uparrow (Sx)$ from H: x
- 2: $2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) = 2 \uparrow (Sx)$ from [126](#);2; x
- 3: $\neg x < 2 \uparrow x \vee Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$ from [124](#); x ;2 $\uparrow x$

Equality substitutions:

$$4: \quad \neg 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) = 2 \uparrow (Sx) \vee \neg Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) \vee Sx < 2 \uparrow (Sx)$$

Inferences:

- 5: $Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$ by
 - 0: $x < 2 \uparrow x$
 - 3: $\neg x < 2 \uparrow x \vee Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$
- 6: $\neg 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) = 2 \uparrow (Sx) \vee \neg Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$ by
 - 1: $\neg Sx < 2 \uparrow (Sx)$
 - 4: $\neg 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) = 2 \uparrow (Sx) \vee \neg Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) \vee Sx < 2 \uparrow (Sx)$
- 7: $\neg Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$ by
 - 2: $2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) = 2 \uparrow (Sx)$
 - 6: $\neg 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x) = 2 \uparrow (Sx) \vee \neg Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$
- 8: *QEA* by
 - 5: $Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$
 - 7: $\neg Sx < 2 \cdot (2 \uparrow x)$