

A Theorem About Uniform Distribution

Yakov Sinai*

Dedicated to: *F. Dyson*

*Mathematics Department of Princeton University & Landau Institute of Theoretical Physics

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ be a sequence of independent random variables taking positive integer values and $P\{\xi_j = k\} = \frac{1}{2^k}$, $k \geq 1$. We put $\delta_j = -1$ if k_j is odd, $\delta_j = +1$ if k_j is even, $c_j = c(k_j, \delta_{j-1}) = \frac{2^{k_j \delta_j - 1 - 3\delta_{j-1}}}{6}$ and consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n &= \sum(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \delta_0) = \\ &= 3^{n-1}c_1 + 3^{n-2}c_2 2^{\xi_1} + 3^{n-3} \cdot c_3 2^{\xi_2 + \xi_1} + \\ &+ \dots + 3c_{n-1} \cdot 2^{\xi_{n-2} + \xi_{n-3} + \dots + \xi_1} + c_n 2^{\xi_{n-1} + \dots + \xi_1} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, \sum_n is an integer-valued random variable and for each σ , $0 \leq \sigma < 3^n$, we consider $\sum_n \equiv \sigma \pmod{3^n}$ and put $\mu_n\left(\frac{\sigma}{3^n}\right) = \sum_{\sum_n \equiv \sigma \pmod{3^n}} \frac{1}{2^{k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n + 1}}$.

The last summation goes over all values of $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ and δ_0 which give $\sigma \pmod{3^n}$. μ_n is a probability measure on the interval $[0, 1]$. The main result of this paper is the following Theorem.

Main Theorem. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the measures μ_n converge weakly to the uniform measure.*

The strongest version of this theorem where individual probabilities $\mu_n\left(\frac{\sigma}{3^n}\right)$ converge to $\frac{1}{3^n}$ is wrong. Indeed, one can write down the probability distribution of the first digits in the triadic expansion of \sum_n and see that it is not uniform. A more deep analysis of the distribution of σ_n can be crucial for the progress in the famous $(3x + 1)$ -problem.

Proof. The statement of the theorem will follow if we prove that for any integer $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n, \delta_0} \frac{1}{2^{k_1 + \dots + k_n + 1}} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{\sum_n}{3^n} \lambda \right\} = 0. \quad (1)$$

In this expression k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n are the values of $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$. We may assume that λ is not divisible by 3 and n is even. Other cases require trivial changes.

Denote $k^{(j)} = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{2j}$, $\ell^{(j)} = k^{(j)} - k^{(j-1)} = k_{2j-1} + k_{2j}$. Fix the values of $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ and of all $k^{(j)}, j = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$. With respect to the induced conditional distribution all pairs (k_{2j-1}, k_{2j}) are mutually independent and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n, \delta_0} \frac{1}{2^{k_1 + \dots + k_n + 1}} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{\sum_n}{3^n} \lambda \right\} = \\ & = \sum_{\substack{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \\ k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}, \dots, k^{(n/2)}}} P \left\{ \delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n; k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}, \dots, k^{(n/2)} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} \varphi_j(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_j(\lambda)$ is the conditional characteristic function,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(\lambda) &= \sum_{\text{admissible } k_{2j-1}, k_{2j}} \pi \left(k_{2j-1}, k_{2j} | \delta_{2j-2}, \delta_{2j-1}, \ell^{(j)} \right) \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{2k^{(j-1)}}{3^{2j-2}} \lambda \left(\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$\pi(k_{2j-1}, k_{2j} | \delta_{2j-2}, \delta_{2j-1}, \delta_{2j}, \ell^{(j)})$ are the corresponding conditional probabilities. Since $\delta_{2j-1}, \delta_{2j}$ are fixed the set of possible pairs (k_{2j-1}, k_{2j}) is a subset of the whole set of pairs for which $k_{2j-1} + k_{2j} = k^{(j)} - k^{(j-1)} = \ell^{(j)}$ is given and the conditional distribution is uniform on this subset.

The tables on pages 4 and 5 show these subsets and c_{2j-1}, c_{2j} for several first value of $\ell^{(j)}$.

For given $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ and $k^{(1)}, \dots, k^{(n/2)}$ the index j is called good if $|\varphi_j(\lambda)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n^{\gamma_0}}$ where $\gamma_0 > 0$ is a constant which will be specified later. Otherwise it is called bad. $I^{(g)}$ is the notation for the set of good indices, $I^{(b)} = I \setminus I^{(g)}$.

A sequence $\{\delta_j, 0 \leq j \leq n\}, \{k^{(j)}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is called good if $|I^{(g)}| \geq n^{\gamma_1}$, where γ_1 is another constant, $\gamma_1 > \gamma_0$. Otherwise, it is called bad.

For good sequences

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n/2} |\varphi_j(\lambda)| \leq \prod_{j \in I^{(g)}} |\varphi_j(\lambda)| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{\gamma_0}} \right)^{n^{\gamma_1}} \leq \exp \left\{ -\text{const } n^{\gamma_1 - \gamma_0} \right\}.$$

The case of bad sequences for which $|I^{(g)}| \leq n^{\gamma_1}$ or $|I^{(b)}| \geq n - n^{\gamma_1}$ should be studied in detail.

TABLE 1. $\delta_{2j-2} = -1$.

$\ell^{(j)}$	δ_{2j-1}	δ_{2j}	k_{2j-1}	k_{2j}	c_{2j-1}	c_{2j}	$\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j}2^{k_{2j}-1}}{3^2}$
2	-1	-1	1	1	0	0	0
3	-1	1	1	2	0	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
	1	-1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$
4	-1	-1	1	3	0	-1	$-\frac{2}{9}$
	-1	-1	3	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}$
	1	1	2	2	1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$
5	-1	1	1	4	0	3	$\frac{2}{3}$
	1	-1	2	3	1	-2	$-\frac{5}{9}$
	-1	1	3	2	-1	1	$-\frac{5}{9}$
	1	-1	4	1	3	-1	$\frac{1}{9}$
6	-1	-1	1	5	0	-5	$-\frac{10}{9}$
	1	1	2	4	0	2	$\frac{8}{9}$
	-1	-1	3	3	-1	-1	$-\frac{11}{9}$
	1	1	4	2	3	0	1
	-1	-1	5	1	-5	0	$-\frac{5}{3}$
7	-1	1	1	6	0	11	$\frac{22}{9}$
	1	-1	2	5	1	-6	-1
	-1	1	3	4	-1	3	$\frac{7}{3}$
	1	-1	4	3	3	-2	$-\frac{23}{9}$
	-1	1	5	2	-5	1	$\frac{17}{9}$
	1	-1	6	1	11	-1	$-\frac{31}{9}$

TABLE 2. $\delta_{2j-2} = 1$.

$\ell^{(j)}$	δ_{2j-1}	δ_{2j}	k_{2j-1}	k_{2j}	c_{2j-1}	c_{2j}	$\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} - 2^{k_{2j}-1}}{3^2}$
2	-1	-1	1	1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$
3	-1	1	1	2	-1	1	$-\frac{1}{9}$
	1	-1	2	1	0	-1	$-\frac{4}{9}$
4	-1	-1	1	3	-1	-1	$-\frac{5}{9}$
	-1	-1	3	1	-2	0	$\frac{1}{3}$
	1	1	2	2	0	0	0
5	-1	1	1	4	-1	3	$-\frac{1}{9}$
	1	-1	2	3	0	-2	$-\frac{8}{9}$
	-1	1	3	2	-2	1	$\frac{2}{9}$
	1	-1	4	1	2	-1	$\frac{10}{9}$
6	-1	-1	1	5	-1	-5	$-\frac{13}{9}$
	1	1	2	4	0	2	$\frac{8}{9}$
	-1	-1	3	3	-2	-2	-3
	1	1	4	2	2	0	$\frac{2}{3}$
	-1	-1	5	1	-6	0	-2
7	-1	1	1	6	-1	11	$\frac{19}{9}$
	1	-1	2	5	0	-6	$-\frac{24}{9}$
	-1	1	3	4	-2	3	2
	1	-1	4	3	2	-2	$-\frac{26}{9}$
	-1	1	5	2	-6	1	$\frac{46}{9}$
	1	-1	6	1	10	-1	$-\frac{34}{9}$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(\lambda) &= \sum_{\text{admissible } (k_{2j-1}, k_{2j})} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi i 2^{k(j-1)} \lambda}{3^{2j-2}} \left(\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} \right) \right\} \cdot \\ &\cdot \pi \left(k_{2j-1}, k_{2j} \mid \delta_{2j-2}, \delta_{2j-1}, \delta_{2j}, \ell^{(j)} \right) = \\ &= 1 - \sum_{\text{admissible } (k_{2j-1}, k_{2j})} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{2^{k(j-1)} \lambda}{3^{2j-2}} \left(\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} \right) \right\} \right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \pi \left(k_{2j-1}, k_{2j} \mid \delta_{2j-2}, \delta_{2j-1}, \delta_{2j}, \ell^{(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

All expressions $1 - \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{2^{k(j-1)} \lambda}{3^{2j-2}} \left(\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} \right) \right\}$

have positive real parts. Therefore, if j is bad and $2 \leq \ell^{(j)} \leq 7$ then there should be

$$\left| \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{2^{k(j-1)} \lambda}{3^{2j-2}} \left(\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} \right) \right\} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{n^{\gamma_0}} \quad (3)$$

Here and further const is an absolute constant whose exact value plays no role in the proof.

Let us write

$$\frac{2^{k(j-1)} \lambda}{3^{2j-2}} = 3^s m_2^{(j-1)} + 3 m_1^{(j-1)} + m_0^{(j-1)} + \theta_j$$

where $s \geq 2$, $m_0^{(j-1)}$ and $m_1^{(j-1)}$ are integers, $0 \leq m_0^{(j-1)}, m_1^{(j-1)} \leq 2$, $m_2^{(j-1)}$ is not divisible by 3 and $|\theta_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Denote by $A_1(\{\delta_j, 0 \leq j \leq n\}, \{k^{(j)}, 1 \leq j \leq n\})$ the set of indices j for which $\delta_{2j-2} = -1, \delta_{2j-1} = 1, \delta_{2j} = -1, \ell^{(j)} = 5$ or $\delta_{2j-2} = 1, \delta_{2j-1} = -1, \delta_{2j} = 1, \ell^{(j)} = 5$. In both cases one term in the expression for $\varphi_j(\lambda)$ has k_{2j-1}, k_{2j} with $\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} = \pm \frac{1}{9}$ (see Tables 1 and 2). Therefore,

$$\left(3m_1^{(j-1)} + m_0^{(j-1)} + \theta_j \right) \left(\frac{c_{2j-1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j} 2^{k_{2j-1}}}{3^2} \right) = \pm \left(3m_1^{(j-1)} + m_0^{(j-1)} + \theta_j \right) \frac{1}{9}$$

and in order that (3) were valid for this term we should have $m_0^{(j-1)} = m_1^{(j-1)} = 0$, $|\theta_j| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{n^{\gamma_0}}$.

Denote by B_1 the set of sequences $\{\delta_j, 0 \leq j \leq n\}$, $\{k^{(j)}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ for which $|A_1| \geq b_1 n$. The probability of the complement to B_1 is exponentially small if b_1 is sufficiently small.

Since we consider bad sequences the majority of $j \in A_1(\{\delta_j, 0 \leq j \leq n\}, \{k^{(j)}, 1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}\})$ consists of bad j . For bad $j \in A_1(\{\delta_j, 0 \leq j \leq n\}, \{k^{(j)}, 1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}\})$ we have the representation (3) with $m_0 = m_1 = 0$ and $|\theta_j| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{n^{\gamma_0}}$.

Assume that

$$\frac{2^{k^{(j-1)}} \lambda}{3^{2j-2}} = 3^s m_2^{(j-1)} + \theta_j \quad (4)$$

for some integer $m_2^{(j-1)} \geq 1$ not divisible by 3, $s \geq 2$, $|\theta_j| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{n^{\gamma_0}}$. Then

$$\frac{2^{k^{(j)}} \lambda}{3^{2j}} = 3^{s-2} \cdot 2^{\ell^{(j)}} m_2^{(j-1)} + \frac{2^{\ell^{(j)}}}{3^2} \theta_j. \quad (5)$$

Thus (5) gives the same representation as (4) for $\frac{2^{k^{(j)}} \lambda}{3^{2j}}$ with $s' = s - 2$, $m_2^{(j)} = 2^{\ell^{(j)}} m_2^{(j-1)}$, $\theta_{j+1} = \frac{2^{\ell^{(j)}}}{3^2} \theta_j$.

A sequence of indices j , $j_1 \leq j \leq j_2$, is called a cycle if

- i) for all j , $j_1 \leq j \leq j_2$, the representation

$$\frac{2^{k^{(j)}} \lambda}{3^{2j}} = 3^{s_j} m_2^{(j)} + \theta_j$$

with $s_j \geq 2$, $|\theta_j| \leq b_2$ is valid where b_2 is another sufficiently small constant (see below);

- ii) for $j = j_1 - 1$ and $j = j_2 + 1$ it is not valid and $\delta_{2j_2}, \delta_{2j_2+1}, \delta_{2j_2+2}, \ell^{(j_2+1)}$ are such that $\ell^{(j_2+1)} \leq 7$ and at least one term in (2) is such that $\frac{c_{2j_2+1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j_2} 2^{k_{2j_2+1}}}{3^2} = \frac{t}{3^2}$ where t is an integer not divisible by 3 (see Tables 1 and 2).

Lemma 1. *There exists a constant $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$, such that for any cycle $[j_1, j_2]$*

$$|\varphi_{j_2+1}(\lambda)| \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Proof. A point j_2 can be the right end of a cycle by one of the following two reasons.

1. For $j = j_2$

$$\frac{2^{k(j_2)} \lambda}{3^{2j_2}} = 3^2 m_2^{(j_2)} + \theta_{j_2} \text{ or } \frac{2^{k(j_2)} \lambda}{3^{2j_2}} = 3^3 \cdot m_2^{(j)} + \theta_{j_2}$$

with $|\theta_{j_2}| \leq b_2$. Then

$$\frac{2^{k(j_2+1)} \lambda}{3^{2(j_2+1)}} = 2^{\ell(j_2+1)} m_2^{(j_2)} + \theta_{j_2} \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2} \text{ or } \frac{2^{k(j_2+1)} \lambda}{3^{2(j_2+1)}} = 3 \cdot 2^{\ell(j_2+1)} m_2^{(j_2)} + \theta_{j_2} \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2}.$$

Since $\ell(j_2+1) \leq 7$ we have $|\theta_{j_2} \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2}| \leq \text{const } b_2$. Any product $2^{\ell(j_2+1)} \cdot m_2^{(j_2)}$ or $3 \cdot 2^{\ell(j_2+1)} m_2^{(j_2)}$ is not divisible by 9. In view of ii) both products

$$2^{\ell(j_2+1)} m_2^{(j_2)} \left(\frac{c_{2j+1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j+2} 2^{k_{2j+1}}}{3^2} \right) \text{ or } 3 \cdot 2^{\ell(j_2+1)} m_2^{(j_2)} \left(\frac{c_{2j+1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j+1} 2^{k_{2j+1}}}{3^2} \right)$$

are fractions with the denominator 3 or 9. If b_2 is small enough then

$$\left| 1 - \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{2^{k(j_2+1)} \lambda}{3^{2(j_2+1)}} \left(\frac{c_{2j+1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j+2} 2^{k_{2j+1}}}{3^2} \right) \right\} \right| \geq \alpha_1$$

for some constant $\alpha_1 > 0$. This gives the statement of the lemma in this case.

2. For $j = j_2$

$$\frac{2^{k(j_2)} \lambda}{3^{2j_2}} = 3^s m_2^{(j_2)} + \theta_{j_2}$$

where $s \geq 3, |\theta_{j_2}| \leq b_2$ and

$$\frac{2^{k(j_2+1)} \lambda}{3^{2(j_2+1)}} = 3^{s-2} \cdot 2^{\ell(j_2+1)} m_2^{(j_2)} + \theta_{j_2} \cdot \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2}$$

with $\left| \theta_{j_2} \cdot \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2} \right| \geq b_2$. Since $\ell(j_2+1) \leq 7$ we have $\left| \theta_{j_2} \cdot \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2} \right| \leq b_2 \text{ const}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{2^{k(j_2+1)} \lambda}{3^{2(j_2+1)}} \left(\frac{c_{2j+1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j+2}}{3^2} \cdot 2^{k_{2j+1}} \right) \right\} - 1 \right| \\ &= \left| \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \theta_{j_2} \cdot \frac{2^{\ell(j_2+1)}}{3^2} \cdot \left(\frac{c_{2j+1}}{3} + \frac{c_{2j+2}}{3^2} 2^{k_{2j+1}} \right) \right\} - 1 \right| \geq \alpha_2 \end{aligned}$$

for another constant $\alpha_2 > 0$. Lemma is proven.

We shall prove that with probability tending to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the number of cycles is not less than $\alpha_3 \ell n n$ for another constant α_3 . In view of Lemma 1, this gives the estimate $\left| \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} \varphi_j(\lambda) \right| \leq (1 - \alpha)^{\alpha_3 \ell n n} = \frac{1}{n^{\gamma_2}}$ with $\gamma_2 = -\alpha_3 \ell n(1 - \alpha)$.

A segment $[j_1, j_2]$ is called pre-cycle if for all j , $j_1 \leq j \leq j_2$

i') the representation

$$\frac{2^{k(j)} \lambda}{3^{2j}} 3^{s_j} m_2^{(j)} + \theta_j$$

with $s_j \geq 2$ and $m_2^{(j)}$ not divisible by 3, $|\theta_j| \leq b_2$ is valid.

ii') for $j = j_1 - 1$, $j = j_2 + 1$ it is not valid. Any point j with the property i') can be included in a unique way in a pre-cycle. The difference $j_2 - j_1 = d([j_1, j_2])$ is called the length of the pre-cycle. It is clear that $\theta_j = \frac{2^{k(j) - k(j_1)}}{3^{2(j - j_1)}} \theta_{j_1}$ for $j \in [j_1, j_2]$ and $|\theta_{j_1}| \geq \frac{1}{3^{2j_1}}$. Therefore the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2. *There exist positive constants α_4, α_5 such that for given j_1 , the conditional probability that $d([j_1, j_2]) \geq \alpha_4 j_1$ is less than $\exp\{-\alpha_5 j_1\}$.*

Proof. Assuming that α_4 is chosen consider the situation $d([j_1, j_2]) \geq \alpha_4 j_1$. Then for $j - j_1 = [\alpha_4 j_2]$

$$\frac{2^{k(j) - k(j_1)}}{3^{2(j - j_1)}} \cdot |\theta_{j_1}| \leq b_2$$

which implies

$$2^{k(j) - k(j_1)} \leq \frac{b_2 \cdot 3^{2(j - j_1)}}{|\theta_{j_1}|} \leq b_2 \cdot 3^{2j}$$

since $|\theta_{j_1}| \geq \frac{1}{3^{2j_1}}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} k(j) - k(j_1) &\leq \frac{\ell n b_2 + 2j \ell n 3}{\ell n 2} = \frac{\ell n b_2 + 2j_1 \ell n 3 + 2\alpha_4 j_1 \ell n 3 + O(1)}{\ell n 2} = \\ &= \frac{j_1(2 \ell n 3 + 2\alpha_4 \ell n 3) + \ell n b_2 + O(1)}{\ell n 2} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In a typical situation $k(j) - k(j_1)$ grows as $2(j - j_1)$ which is equivalent to $4\alpha_4 j_1$ while the main term in the last expression grows as $\frac{2\ell n 3 + 2\alpha_4 \ell n 3}{\ell n 2} \cdot j_1$. Therefore, for large enough α_4 we have the inequality $\frac{2\ell n 3 + 2\alpha_4 \ell n 3}{\ell n 2} < 4\alpha_4$ and the probability of the sequences

$k^{(j)} - k^{(j_1)}$ satisfying (6) can be estimated with the help of the usual methods in the theory of probabilities of large deviations. Lemma is proven.

Consider the segment $[n^{\gamma_3}, n]$ for any $\gamma_3, 0 < \gamma_3 < 1$. The value of γ_3 will determine the estimate of some probabilities below. It follows easily from Lemma 2 and from the fact that the majority of the indices j is bad that with probability tending to 1 the number of pre-cycles which intersect $[n^{\gamma_3}, n]$ is greater than $\alpha_6 \ell n n$ for another constant $\alpha_6 > 0$.

The difference between pre-cycles and cycles is in the behavior at the right end-point. Suppose that j_1 is the beginning of a pre-cycle, $m_2(j_1), \theta_{j_1}$ are the corresponding parameters of the initial point. Under this condition the conditional probability that a pre-cycle is a cycle is greater than some constant $\alpha_7 > 0$. By this reason with probability tending to 1 the number of cycles is greater than $\alpha_8 \ell n n$ for some constant $\alpha_8 > 0$. This implies (1). Theorem is proven.

The proof presented in this paper is an improvement of the proof of a similar statement given in [1]. It gives the power-like decay of the conditional characteristic function. However, presumably its actual decay is exponential.

The same methods allow to prove the main theorem for conditional distributions of $\xi_1 \dots \xi_n$ under conditions $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n = k, |k - 2n| = O(\sqrt{n})$.

The financial supports from NSF, grant DMS-0070698 and RFFI, grant 99-01-00314 are highly appreciated.

REFERENCE

1. Ya. G. Sinai, "Uniform Distribution in the $(3x + 1)$ -Problem," *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, in press.