

Separating Solution of a Recurrent Equation

by

Ya. G. Sinai*

*Mathematics Department, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, U.S.A.

§1. Introduction

Consider a sequence of real numbers given by a recurrent equation

$$\wedge_p = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{p_1}{p}\right) \wedge_{p_1} \cdot \wedge_{p-p_1} . \quad (1)$$

Here f is a C^3 -function on $[0, 1]$. The sequence \wedge_p is defined as soon as $\wedge_1 = y$ is given. In this sense we shall write $\wedge_p(y)$ and assume $y \geq 0$.

If $y' = cy$ then $\wedge_p(y') = c^p \wedge_p(y)$. Therefore, if $\wedge_p(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $c \geq 1$ then also $\wedge_p(y') \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. If $\wedge_p(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $0 < c < 1$ then $\wedge_p(y') \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that the set of $y > 0$ for which $\wedge_p(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ is an open semi-line (y^+, ∞) while the set of $y > 0$ for which $\wedge_p(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ is an interval $(0, y^-)$. It is a natural question whether $y^- = y^+ = y^{(0)}$ and $\wedge_p(y^{(0)}) \rightarrow \text{const}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. As it is easy to understand, this constant must be equal to $(\int_0^1 f(\gamma) d\gamma)^{-1}$ and it is our first assumption that $\int_0^1 f(\gamma) d\gamma > 0$. Without any loss of generality it is enough to consider the case $\int_0^1 f(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$ because if $f^{(1)}(y) = K f(y)$ for some constant K then for the corresponding sequence $\wedge_p^{(1)}(y)$ we have $\wedge_p^{(1)}(y) = K^{-1} \wedge_p(y)$.

The above formulated question appeared in our joint paper with Dong Li (see [LS]) on short time singularities in complex-valued solutions of the 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes system on R^3 . There we needed the positive answer for the particular case $f(\gamma) = 6\gamma^2 - 10\gamma + 4$. Each of us found his own proof of the needed statement but the proofs were different and required different assumptions concerning the function f . The proof given by Dong Li can be found in his paper [L]. Below I present my proof which uses some inductive process. Here are the main assumptions about the function f :

- (1) $f \in C^3([0, 1])$.
- (2) $f(0)$ or $f(1)$ is zero. Without any loss of generality we can consider the case $f(1) = 0$.
- (3) $\int_0^1 f(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$. As it was already explained, this is not a restriction because of the scaling properties of (1).
- (4) Let $f_1(\gamma) = f(\gamma) + f(1 - \gamma)$, $f_2(\gamma) = -f_1(\gamma) - \gamma f_1'(\gamma)$, $f_3(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \int_0^1 x f_2(x) dx$.
Then $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} f_3(\gamma) d\gamma \neq -1$.

- (5) The last assumption concerns the initial part of our inductive process. It will be formulated later in §2, §4.

Main Theorem. *If the conditions (1)-(5) are fulfilled, then there exists $y^{(0)} > 0$ such that for $p \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\wedge_p(y^{(0)}) \rightarrow \left(\int_0^1 f(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^{-1} = 1.$$

Clearly $y^{(0)}$ is unique.

We shall call $\wedge_p(y^{(0)})$ a separating solution of (1).

Acknowledgments

Financial support from NSF, Grant No. DMS 0600996 is highly appreciated. Also, I thank Dong Li for the very useful collaboration.

§2. Derivation of the Main Recurrent Formula

It follows from (1) that

$$\wedge_p((1+x)y) = (1+x)^p \wedge_p(y)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d \wedge_p((1+x)y)}{dx} = p(1 + O(px)) \wedge_p(y).$$

We shall use this formula in the cases where $y = O(1)$, $\wedge_p(y) = O(1)$, $px = o(1)$. Then in the main order of magnitude $\frac{d}{dx} \wedge_p((1+x)y) = p \wedge_p(y)$ and does not depend on x , i.e. in this approximation $\wedge_p((1+x)y)$ is a linear function of x . We shall formulate this statement as a separate lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let for some numbers A_1, A_2*

$$A_1 \leq \wedge_p(y) \leq A_2.$$

Then there exists a constant C_1 depending on A_1, A_2 such that

$$\frac{d}{dx} \wedge_p (y + xy) = p(1 + \epsilon_p^{(1)}) \wedge_p (y) \quad (2)$$

and $|\epsilon_p^{(1)}| \leq C_1 \cdot p|x|$ provided that $p|x| \leq 1$.

Below in this text various absolute constants which appear during the proof will be denoted by A,B,C with indeces, various remainders will be denoted by ϵ, δ with indeces.

Choose some $\rho, 0 < \rho < 1$, and consider the sequence of intervals $\Delta_p = [1 - \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p}}, 1 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p}}]$. It is clear that $\Delta_p \supseteq \Delta_{p+1} \supseteq \dots$. As was already mentioned, the proof of the main theorem is based on some inductive process. Assume that for all $q, 1 \leq q \leq p$ we have the intervals $\mathcal{D}_q = [a_q, b_q]$ on the y -axis such that

$$\wedge_q(a_q) = 1 - \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{q}}, \wedge_q(b_q) = 1 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{q}}$$

and $0 < A_3 < a_q \leq b_q \leq A_4$. Putting in (2) $y = a_p$ we get from Lemma 1 that the derivative $\frac{d}{dy} \wedge_q (y) = q(1 + \epsilon_q^{(2)})$ with $|\epsilon_q^{(2)}| \leq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{q}}$ where C_2 depends only on ρ . In other words, if q is sufficiently large the function $\wedge_q(y)$ is strictly monotone and changes from $1 - \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{q}}$ till $1 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{q}}$ with the derivative of order of q . Now we can write

$$\wedge_{p+1}(a_{p+1}) - \wedge_p(a_p) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p}} - \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p+1}} = \frac{\rho}{2p^{3/2}} (1 + \epsilon_p^{(3)}), |\epsilon_p^{(3)}| \leq \frac{C_3}{p}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{2p^{3/2}} (1 + \epsilon_p^{(3)}) &= \wedge_{p+1}(a_{p+1}) - \wedge_p(a_p) = \wedge_{p+1}(a_{p+1}) - \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) \\ &+ \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p) = \\ &= (p+1)(1 + \epsilon_p^{(4)})(a_{p+1} - a_p) + \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p) \end{aligned}$$

with $|\epsilon_p^{(4)}| \leq \frac{C_4}{\sqrt{p}}$. This gives

$$(p+1)(1 + \epsilon_p^{(4)})(a_{p+1} - a_p) = \frac{\rho}{2p^{3/2}} (1 + \epsilon_p^{(3)}) - (\wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p)). \quad (3)$$

We shall use the recurrent equation (1) to find another expression for $\wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p)$. Put $\gamma = \frac{p_1}{p}$, $p_2 = p - p_1$, $\gamma' = \frac{p_1}{p+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma') \wedge_{p_1}(a_p) \wedge_{p_2+1}(a_p) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_p) - 1) + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_p) - 1) \\
 &- \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma') = \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_p) - 1) + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f_1(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^p f(\gamma').
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar formula can be written for $\wedge_p(a_p)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wedge_p(a_p) &= \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma) (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p_2}(a_p) - 1) + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f_1(\gamma) (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) - \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting $\wedge_p(a_p)$ from $\wedge_{p+1}(a_p)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p) &= \frac{1}{p} f\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right) (\wedge_p(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_1(a_p) - 1) \\
 &+ \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} f(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f(\gamma) \right) (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p_2}(a_p) - 1) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_p)) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p} f_1\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right) (\wedge_{p-1}(a_p) - 1) + \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} f_1(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f(\gamma) \right) \cdot \\
 &(\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) + \frac{1}{p} f\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right) - \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} f(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f(\gamma) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^7 I_p^{(j)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $p'_2 = p + 1 - p_1$. Each term will be estimated in the next section.

Now we can explain in detail the property (5) of the function f :

take some ρ, A_3, A_4 . Then for some $p_0 = p_0(\rho, A_3, A_4)$ and all $q, 1 < q \leq p_0$ the segments $[a_q, b_q]$ for which $\wedge_q(a_q) = 1 - \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{q}}, \wedge_q(b_q) = 1 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{q}}, A_3 \leq a_q \leq b_q \leq A_4$ exist.

Fix some number $\alpha > 0$ which later will be assumed to be sufficiently small and consider the sequence $p^{(n)}, p^{(n+1)} = (1 + \alpha)p^{(n)}, n \geq 0$. The choice of α will be discussed in §4.

For each $n \geq 0$ we write $a_{p^{(n)+1}} - a_{p^{(n)}} = \frac{r^{(n)}}{(p^{(n)})^{5/2}}$ and this equality will be used for the definition of $r^{(n)}$. For $p^{(n)} < p \leq p^{(n+1)}$ we write $a_{p^{(n)+1}} - a_{p^{(n)}} = \frac{r^{(n)} + \delta_p^{(n)}}{p^{5/2}}$. Denote $M_p = \max_{q \leq p} |r^{(n)} + \delta_q^{(n)}| = \max |a_q - a_{q-1}| q^{5/2}$. It is clear that M_p does not depend on the choice of $r^{(n)}$ and $\delta_p^{(n)}$ because $r^{(n)} + \delta^{(n)}$ does not depend on the n . Then M_p will be the main p numbers which we shall estimate below.

§3. Estimates of $I_p^{(j)}$

In this and the next section we consider $p, p^{(n)} < p \leq p^{(n+1)}$. First we consider the largest terms among $I_p^{(j)}$.

3.1 We start with

$$I_p^{(4)} = \frac{1}{p} f_1 \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right) (\wedge_{p-1}(a_p) - 1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_p^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{p} f_1 \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right) (\wedge_{p-1}(a_{p-1}) - 1 + \wedge_{p-1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p-1}(a_{p-1})) \\ &= -\frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} f_1(1) + \frac{1}{p} f_1(1) (\wedge_{p-1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p-1}(a_{p-1})) + \epsilon_p^{(5)} \end{aligned}$$

where $|\epsilon_p^{(5)}| \leq \frac{C_5}{p^{5/2}}$. From (2)

$$\frac{1}{p} (\wedge_{p-1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p-1}(a_{p-1})) = (a_p - a_{p-1}) \cdot (1 + \epsilon_p^{(6)}),$$

$|\epsilon_p^{(6)}| \leq \frac{C_6}{p^{1/2}}$. From the estimate $|a_p - a_{p-1}| \leq \frac{M_p}{p^{5/2}}$ it follows that

$$\frac{1}{p} |\wedge_{p-1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p-1}(a_{p-1})| \leq \frac{M_p}{p^{5/2}} \left(1 + \frac{C_6}{p^{1/2}} \right).$$

which gives

$$I_p^{(4)} = -\frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} \cdot f_1(1) + \epsilon_p^{(7)}$$

and $|\epsilon_p^{(7)}| \leq \frac{C_7 M_p}{p^{5/2}}$. Later it will be shown that M_p are uniformly bounded. Consider

$$I_p^{(5)} = \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} f_1(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f_1(\gamma) \right) (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1).$$

We can write

$$\frac{1}{p} f_1(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f_1(\gamma) = -\frac{\gamma' f_1'(\gamma') + f_1(\gamma')}{p(p-1)} + \epsilon_p^{(8)} = -\frac{f_2(\gamma')}{p(p-1)} + \epsilon_p^{(8)},$$

$|\epsilon_p^{(8)}| \leq \frac{C_8}{p^3}$. For the difference $\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1$ from Lemma 1 and 2 we have

$$\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1 = \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) - 1 + \wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) = -\frac{\rho}{p_1^{1/2}} + (p_1 + \epsilon_{p_1}^{(1)})(a_p - a_{p_1}).$$

The estimate for $\epsilon_{p_1}^{(1)}$ was given before. Then

$$I_p^{(5)} = -\sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{f_2(\gamma')}{p(p-1)} \cdot \frac{\rho}{p_1^{1/2}} + \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{f_2(\gamma') p_1 (a_p - a_{p_1})}{p(p-1)} + \epsilon_p^{(3)}, \quad (4)$$

$|\epsilon_p^{(3)}| \leq \frac{C_3}{p^2}$ and

$$\sum \frac{f_2(\gamma')}{p(p-1)} \cdot \frac{\rho}{p_1^{1/2}} = \frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma) d\gamma}{\sqrt{\gamma}} + \epsilon_p^{(10)},$$

$|\epsilon_p^{(10)}| \leq \frac{C_{10}}{p^{5/2}}$. For the second term in (4) we write

$$\begin{aligned} J_p^{(5)} &= \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{f_2(\gamma') p_1 (a_p - a_{p_1})}{p(p-1)} = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f_2(\gamma') \cdot \gamma \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f_2(\gamma') \cdot \gamma \sum_{q=p_1+1}^p (a_q - a_{q-1}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{q_2=2}^p (a_q - a_{q-1}) \cdot \sum_{\gamma=\frac{p_1}{p} \leq \frac{q}{p}} f_2(\gamma') \cdot \gamma = \\ &= \sum_{q=2}^p (a_q - a_{q-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1 \leq q} f_2(\gamma') \cdot \gamma = \\ &= \sum_{q=2}^p (a_q - a_{q-1}) \left(\int_0^{q/p} f_2(\gamma) \cdot \gamma d\gamma + \epsilon_q^{(11)} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{q=2}^p (a_q - a_{q-1}) \cdot \left(\frac{q^2}{p^2} \cdot f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) + \epsilon_q^{(11)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $|\epsilon_q^{(11)}| \leq \frac{C_{11}}{p}$. Recall now that $a_q - a_{q-1} = \frac{r^{(n)} + \delta_q^{(n)}}{q^{5/2}}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J_p^{(5)} &= \frac{r^{(n)}}{p^{3/2}} \sum_{q=2}^p \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} + \epsilon_p^{(12)} = \\ &= \frac{r^{(n)}}{p^{3/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} + \epsilon_p^{(13)}, \end{aligned}$$

$|\epsilon_p^{(13)}| \leq \frac{C_{13}}{p^{5/2}} R^{(n)}$ where $R^{(n)} = \max_{m \leq n} |r^{(m)}|$. The last sum will play an important role in the next section. Finally we have

$$I_p^{(5)} = -\frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma) d\gamma}{\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{r^{(n)}}{p^{3/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} + \epsilon_p^{(14)}$$

and $|\epsilon_p^{(14)}| \leq \frac{C_{14}(1+R^{(n)})}{p^2}$.

3.2. In this part of §3 we shall consider other $I_p^{(j)}$, $j \neq 4, 5$ about which we shall show that they have a higher order of smallness and will be included later in the remainders. For $I_p^{(7)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_p^{(7)} &= -\sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{1}{p} f(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f(\gamma) \right) = \\ &= -\sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{p} f \left(\frac{p_1}{p+1} \right) - \frac{1}{p-1} f \left(\frac{p_1}{p+1} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{p-1} \left(f \left(\frac{p_1}{p+1} \right) - f \left(\frac{p_1}{p} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \cdot \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \left[f \left(\frac{p_1}{p+1} \right) + \frac{p_1}{(p+1)} f' \left(\frac{p_1}{p+1} \right) \right] + \epsilon_p^{(15)} \\ &= \frac{(p+1)}{p(p-1)} \cdot \int_0^1 [f(\gamma) + \gamma f'(\gamma)] d\gamma + \epsilon_p^{(16)} \end{aligned}$$

and $|\epsilon_p^{(16)}| \leq \frac{C_{16}}{p^2}$. The last integral is zero in view of the condition $f(1) = 0$.

For $I_p^{(6)}$ we can write

$$|I_p^{(16)}| \leq \frac{C_{18}}{p^2}.$$

Consider

$$I_p^{(3)} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_p)).$$

We have

$$\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1 = \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) - 1 + \wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}).$$

By construction, $\wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) - 1 = -\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_1}}$ and with the help of Lemma 1

$$\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) = (p_1 + \epsilon_{p_1}^{(1)})(a_p - a_{p_1}).$$

For the difference $(a_p - a_{p_1})$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} |a_p - a_{p_1}| &\leq \sum_{q=p_1+1}^p |a_q - a_{q-1}| \leq M_p \cdot \sum_{q=p_1+1}^p \frac{1}{q^{5/2}} \leq \\ &\leq M_p \cdot C_{19} \cdot \int_{p_1+1}^p \frac{dq}{q^{5/2}} = M_p \cdot C_{19} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p_1^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{p^{3/2}} \right) = \\ &= M_p \cdot C_{19} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p_1^{3/2}} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p_2}{p} \right)^{3/2} \right) \leq M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \frac{p_2}{p_1^{3/2} \cdot p}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Thus

$$|\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1})| \leq M_p \cdot C_{21} \cdot \frac{(p - p_1)}{p_1^{1/2} \cdot p}. \tag{6}$$

In the same way

$$|a_p - a_{p_2}| \leq M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \frac{p_1}{p_2^{3/2} \cdot p} \tag{5'}$$

Now we can estimate the difference $\wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_p)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_p) &= \wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_{p'_2}) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_{p'_2}) + \\ &+ \wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_{p'_2}) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_p) + \wedge_{p'_2}(a_{p'_2}). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1 and from the previous estimates (5), (5'), (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2+1}(a_{p'_2})) - (\wedge_{p'_2}(a_p) - \wedge_{p'_2}(a_{p'_2}))| \leq \\ &\leq C_{21} |a_p - a_{p'_2}| \leq M_p \cdot C_{22} \cdot \frac{p_1}{p_2^{3/2} \cdot p}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_p^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma') (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_2'}(a_p)) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma') \left(-\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_1}} + \wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) \right) ((\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_{p_2'}) - \wedge_{p_2'}(a_{p_2'})) + \\
 &\quad + (\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_{p_2'}) - \wedge_{p_2'}(a_{p_2'})) + (\wedge_{p_2+1}(a_p) - \wedge_{p_2+1}(a_{p_2'}) - \wedge_{p_2'}(a_p) + \wedge_{p_2'}(a_{p_2'}))
 \end{aligned}$$

and from the previous estimates and the inequality $|f(\gamma')| \leq C_{23} \frac{p_2}{p}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_p^{(3)}| &\leq \frac{1}{p^2} \cdot C_{23} \sum [p_2 \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_1}} + M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \frac{p_2}{p_1^{1/2} \cdot p} \right) \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot (M_p \cdot C_{24} \cdot \frac{1}{p_2^{3/2}} + M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \frac{p_1}{p_2^{3/2} \cdot p})] = \\
 &= \frac{C_{25} \cdot M_p}{p^2} \left[\sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{\rho p_2}{\sqrt{p_1} \cdot p_2^{3/2}} + \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{\rho p_2}{\sqrt{p_1}} \cdot \frac{p_1}{p_2^{3/2} \cdot p} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{p_2^2}{p_1^{1/2} \cdot p} \cdot \frac{1}{p_2^{3/2}} + \sum M_p^2 C_{20}^2 \cdot \frac{p_2^2}{p_1^{1/2} \cdot p} \cdot \frac{p_1}{p_2^{3/2} \cdot p} \right] \leq \frac{(M_p+1)^2 \cdot C_{26}}{p^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It will follow from our proof in §4 that M_p are uniformly bounded. Therefore $I_p^{(3)}$ has a higher order of smallness.

Next we estimate

$$I_p^{(2)} = \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} f(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f(\gamma) \right) (\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1) (\wedge_{p_2}(a_p) - 1).$$

As before,

$$|\wedge_{p_1}(a_p) - 1| \leq |\wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) - 1| + |\wedge_{p_1}(a_{p_1}) - \wedge_{p_1}(a_p)| \leq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_1}} + M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \frac{p_2}{p_1^{1/2} \cdot p}$$

and similarly

$$|\wedge_{p_2}(a_p) - 1| \leq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_2}} + M_p \cdot C_{20} \frac{p_1}{p_2^{1/2} \cdot p}.$$

Also, $|\frac{1}{p} f(\gamma') - \frac{1}{p-1} f(\gamma)| \leq \frac{C_{27}}{p^2}$. Thus

$$I_p^{(2)} \leq \frac{C_{27}}{p^2} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_1}} + M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \frac{p_2}{p_1^{1/2} \cdot p} \right) \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{p_2}} + M_p C_{20} \frac{p_1}{p_2^{1/2} \cdot p} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{C_{27 \cdot \rho}}{p^2} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_2}} + \frac{C_{27 \cdot \rho}}{p^2} M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot \sum \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{\sqrt{p_2 \cdot p}} + \\
 &+ \frac{M_p \cdot C_{20} \cdot C_{27 \rho}}{p^2} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{\sqrt{p_2}}{\sqrt{p_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{C_{27} \cdot C_{20} \cdot M_p}{p^2} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{\sqrt{p_2} \cdot \sqrt{p_1}}{p^2} \leq \frac{C_{28}(1+M_p)}{p^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate

$$I_p^{(1)} = \frac{1}{p} f\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right) \cdot (\wedge_p(a_p) - 1)(\wedge_1(a_p) - 1).$$

It follows easily from the condition $f(1) = 0$ that

$$|I_p^{(1)}| \leq \frac{C_{29}}{p^{5/2}}.$$

Now we can formulate the final result of all previous estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p) &= -\frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} f_1(1) - \frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma \\
 &+ \frac{r^{(n)}}{p^{3/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \delta_q^{(n)} + \epsilon_p
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and $|\epsilon_p| \leq \frac{C_{30} \ln p}{p^2} (\max(1 + M_p + R^{(n)}))^2$.

§4. The End of the Proof of the Main Theorem

As was mentioned before, the proof of the main theorem is based on induction. The possibility of the first $p^{(0)}$ steps is guaranteed by the property 5 of the function f . At the n^{th} step of the induction we consider $p > p^{(n)}$ and we have $a_{p+1} - a_p = \frac{r^{(n)} + \delta_p^{(n)}}{p^{5/2}}$. From (3)

$$a_{p+1} - a_p = \frac{\rho}{2p^{5/2}} - \frac{1}{p} (\wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p)) + \beta_p^{(1)}$$

where $|\beta_p^{(1)}| \leq \frac{B^{(1)}(\wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p))}{p^{3/2}}$. In this inequality $B^{(1)}$ is an absolute constant. From (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p) &= -\frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} f_1(1) + \frac{\rho}{p^{3/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma \\
 &+ \frac{r^{(n-1)}}{p^{3/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \delta_q^{(n-1)} + \epsilon_p, |\epsilon_p| \leq \frac{C_{30}(1+M_p+R^{(n)})^2 \ln p}{p^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{(n-1)} + \delta_{p+1}^{(n-1)} &= \frac{\rho}{2} + \rho f_1(1) - \rho \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma - r^{(n-1)} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \delta_q^{(n-1)} + \beta_p^{(1)} p^{5/2} + \epsilon_p \cdot p^{3/2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In the last expression, take $p = p^{(n)}$. Then $r^{(n)} = r^{(n-1)} + \delta_{p^{(n)}+1}^{(n-1)}$. It determines our “renormalization” at the n^{th} -step. Clearly, $|r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}| = |\delta_{p^{(n)}+1}^{(n-1)}|$.

In all previous formulas, replace $r^{(n-1)}$ by $r^{(n)} - (r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)})$ and $\delta_p^{(n-1)}$ by $\delta_p^{(n)} + r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}$. Then from (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{(n)} &= \frac{\rho}{2} + \rho f_1(1) - \rho \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma - r^{(n)} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{(n)}}} \sum_{q=2}^{p^{(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p^{(n)}}\right) \delta_q^{(n)} + (r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}) \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma \\
 &+ \frac{r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}}{\sqrt{p^{(n)}}} \sum_{q=2}^{p^{(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p^{(n)}}\right) + \beta_{p^{(n)}}^{(1)} (p^{(n)})^{5/2} + \epsilon_{p^{(n)}} (p^{(n)})^{3/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

For $p^{(n)} + 1 \leq p \leq p^{(n+1)}$ we use the formula analogous to (9):

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{(n)} + \delta_{p+1}^{(n)} &= \frac{\rho}{2} + \rho f_1(1) - \rho \int_0^1 \frac{f_2(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma - r^{(n)} \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \delta_q^{(n)} + \beta_p^{(1)} p^{5/2} + \epsilon_p \cdot p^{3/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The substitution of $r^{(n)}$ from (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{p+1}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \delta_q^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{(n)}}} \sum_{q=2}^{p^{(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p^{(n)}}\right) \delta_q^{(n)} \\
 &- \beta_{p^{(n)}}^{(1)} (p^{(n)})^{5/2} - \epsilon_{p^{(n)}} (p^{(n)})^{3/2} - (r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}) \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)})}{\sqrt{p^{(n)}}} \sum_{q=2}^{p^{(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p^{(n)}} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} + \beta_p^{(1)} p^{5/2} + \epsilon_p \cdot p^{3/2} \\
 & = \sum_{s=p^{(n)}+1}^p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s+1}} \sum_{q=2}^{s+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s+1} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{q=2}^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} \right) + \beta_p^{(2)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\beta_p^{(2)}$ is the sum of all remaining terms in the previous expression.

It is most important to estimate the differences

$$J_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+1}} \sum_{q=2}^{s+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s+1} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{q=2}^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s} \right) \delta_q^{(n)}.$$

Let $\Delta_p^{(n)} = \max_{1 < q \leq p} |\delta_q^{(n)} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{4}}|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_s & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+1}} \cdot f_3(1) \delta_{s+1}^{(n)} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s+1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \right) \sum_{q=2}^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s+1} \right) \delta_q^{(n)} \\
 & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{q=2}^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left(f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s+1} \right) - f_3 \left(\frac{q}{s} \right) \right) \delta_q^{(n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Direct estimates of each part of the last expression give

$$|J_s| \leq \frac{C_{31}}{s^{1\frac{1}{4}}} \cdot \Delta_p^{(n)} + \frac{C_{32} \Delta_p^{(n)} \cdot s^{\frac{1}{4}}}{s^{3/2}} + \frac{C_{33} \cdot \Delta_p^{(n)}}{s^{1\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{C_{34} \Delta_p^{(n)}}{s^{1\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q=2}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \cdot \delta_q^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{(n)}}} \sum_{q=2}^{p^{(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3 \left(\frac{q}{p^{(n)}} \right) \cdot \delta_q^{(n)} \right| \\
 & = \left| \sum_{s=p^{(n)}+1}^p J_s \right| \leq C_{34} \cdot \Delta_p^{(n)} \cdot \sum_{p=p^{(n)}+1}^p \frac{1}{s^{1\frac{1}{4}}} \leq C_{35} \frac{\Delta_p^{(n)} \cdot (p-p_n)}{(p^{(n)})^{1\frac{1}{4}}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From the estimates of §3

$$|\epsilon_{p^{(n)}}| \cdot (p^{(n)})^{3/2} \leq \frac{C_{36}(1 + M_{p^{(n)}})^2}{(p^{(n)})^{5/11}}, |\epsilon_p| \cdot p^{3/2} \leq \frac{C_{36}(1 + M_p)^2}{p^{5/11}}. \tag{14}$$

Instead of $5/11$ we could take any power less than $\frac{1}{2}$. The value of the constant C_{34} depends on this power.

The estimate of $r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}$ is done with the help of (9) written for $p = p^{(n)}$ and (10):

$$|r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}| = |\delta_{p^{(n)+1}^{(n-1)}}| \leq \frac{\Delta_{p^{(n)+1}^{(n)}}}{p_n^{1/4}}. \quad (15)$$

If $\Delta_p^{(n)}$ are uniformly bounded then $|r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}|$ decay exponentially with n . We can write

$$|(r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}) \int_0^1 \frac{f_3(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} d\gamma| \leq \frac{C_{37} \Delta_{p^{(n)+1}^{(n)}}}{p_n^{1/4}} = \frac{C_{37} \cdot \Delta_{p^{(n)+1}^{(n)}}}{p_0^{1/4} (1 + \alpha)^n} \quad (16)$$

$$|(r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{(n)}}} \sum_{q=2}^{p^{(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} f_3\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)| \leq \frac{C_{37} \cdot \Delta_{p^{(n)+1}^{(n)}}}{p_n^{1/4}} = \frac{C_{37} \cdot \Delta_{p^{(n)+1}^{(n)}}}{p_0^{1/4} (1 + \alpha)^n} \quad (17)$$

It remains to estimate $\beta_p^{(1)} p^{5/2}$. We have (see above)

$$|\beta_p^{(1)}| \leq \frac{B^{(1)} |\wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p)|}{p^{3/2}}$$

and from (8)

$$|\beta_p^{(1)}| \cdot p^{3/2} \leq B^{(1)} |\wedge_{p+1}(a_p) - \wedge_p(a_p)| \leq \frac{B^{(1)}}{p^{3/2}} (C_{37} + C_{38} \Delta_p^{(n)}).$$

Returning back to (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_p^{(2)}| &\leq \frac{2B^{(1)}}{p^{1/2}} (C_{37} + C_{38} \Delta_p^{(n)}) + \frac{C_{36}(1 + M_p)^2}{p^{5/11}} \\ &+ \frac{2C_{36} \cdot \Delta_p^{(n)}}{p_0^{1/4} (1 + \alpha)^n} \leq \frac{2B^{(1)}C_{37}}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{C_{39} \Delta_p^{(n)} (1 + M_p)^2}{p^{5/11}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now come back to (12), (13), (15), (16), (17). We can write

$$|\delta_p^{(n)}| \leq C_{35} \frac{\Delta_p^{(n)} (p - p_n)}{(p^{(n)})^{1/4}} + \frac{2B^{(1)}C_{37}}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{C_{39} \cdot \Delta_p^{(n)} (1 + M_p)^2}{p^{5/11}} \quad (19)$$

This yields

$$\Delta_{p+1}^{(n)} \leq \frac{2B^{(1)}C_{37}}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{C_{35} \Delta_p^{(n)} (p - p_n)}{(p^{(n)})^{1/4}} + \frac{C_{39} \Delta_p^{(n)} (1 + M_p)^2}{p^{5/11}}. \quad (20)$$

Since $p - p_n \leq \alpha p_n$ we have

$$\Delta_{p+1}^{(n)} \leq \frac{2B^{(1)}C_{37}}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{\Delta_p^{(n)}(C_{35} + C_{39}(1 + M_p)^2)}{(p^{(n)})^{1/4}} \quad (21)$$

Also $|r^{(n)} - r^{(n-1)}| \leq \frac{\Delta_{p_n}^{(n)}}{p_n^{1/4}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p+1} &= \max_{q \leq p+1} |a_q - a_{q-1}| q^{5/2} \leq |r^{(n)}| + \max_{q \leq p+1} |\delta_q^{(n)}| \leq \\ &\leq |r^{(1)}| + \sum_{m=2}^n |r^{(m)} - r^{(m-1)}| + \frac{\Delta_{p+1}^{(n)}}{(p+1)^{1/4}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (21) it follows easily that $|\Delta_{p+1}^{(n)}| \leq \frac{C_{40}}{p^{1/2}}$ and it implies that $M_p \leq C_{41}$. Remind that C with an index is an absolute constant.

If p_0 is large enough then all $a_p \in [\frac{1}{2}A_3, 2A_4]$ (see the end of §2). Since the derivatives of all $\wedge_p(y)$ within this interval are close to 1 the points b_p also belong to the segment $[\frac{1}{2}A_3, 2A_4]$. The distance $b_p - a_p \leq \frac{p \cdot C_{42}}{p^{3/2}}$. Therefore, $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} a_p = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} b_p = y^{(0)}$. Theorem is proven.

References

- [L] Dong Li, "On a Non-linear Recurrent Equation."
- [LS] Dong Li and Ya. G. Sinai, "Blow Ups of Complex Solutions of the 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes System and Renormalization Group Method." Submitted for publication to the *Journal of European Mathematical Society*.